

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,072	(	01/23/2004	Paul Scheb JR.	SCH1.001	8004
39863	7590	04/18/2006		EXAMINER	
SONNABI			LAYNO, BENJAMIN		
600 PROSPECT AVE BROOKLYN, NY 11215				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				3711	<u> </u>
	•			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Asticu Cummon.	10/764,072	SCHEB ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin H. Layno	3711.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roll r. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus .		·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 February 2006.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims		0	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-27,29-46 and 48-57</u> is/are	pending in the application.	<i>-</i>	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8, 10-27, 29-46 and 48-57</u> is/are	e rejected.	·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	• •	
application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ford a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
ttachment(s)		•	
iai.iiiieiii(S)			

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/764,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed 02/27/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 20 and 39 under 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Jones and Timmons, Sr.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 20 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones in view of Timmons, Sr.

The Applicant is referred to the combined teachings of Jones and Timmon, Sr. in the first Office action mailed 08/19/05.

Timmons discloses a method of playing a game of chance using three dice, Fig.

1. Timmons game includes a wagering area indicating a "Straight" 112 having non-equal combinations of three dice (e.g. 1-2-3, 2-3-4, etc.). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to incorporate an additional wagering area to Jones' trifecta wagers 34-39. The additional wagering area would have represented non-equal combinations of three dice "Straight" (e.g. 1-2-3, 2-3-4, etc.). This modification would have provided more wagering areas for players to choose from in Jones' game, thus giving the players the perception of having a better chance at winning.

Art Unit: 3711

In view of Timmons' teaching above, it would have been also obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to further include an additional wagering area to Jones' double wagers 20. The additional wagering area would have represented non-equal combinations of **two** dice "Straight" (e.g. 1-2, 2-3, 3-4, etc.). This modification would have also provided more wagering areas for players to choose from in Jones' game.

4. Claims 2-8, 10-19, 21-27, 29-38, 40-46 and 48-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones in view of Timmons, Sr. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Meeks.

The Applicant is referred to the teaching of Timmons in the first Office action.

The patent to Meeks teaches that it is known in dice gambling games to provide an under wager and an over wager being separated by a pivot value "7", see fig. 1. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious modify Jones game by incorporating an under wager and an over wager being separated by a pivot value. This modification would have provided more wagering opportunities, thus giving the player the perception of more wagering opportunities to choose from increasing their chance at winning.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571)272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin H. Layno

Primary Examinér

Art Unit 3711

bhl